DECEMBER 6, 2007
MICHAEL W. DOBBINS
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

07 C 6902

Jeanette Adams 3859 North Odell, Rear House Chicago, IL 60634

Plaintiff,

v.

Central Credit Services, Inc. c/o Peter Durante, Registered Agent 67 Charleston Square St. Charles, MO 63304

Defendant.

CASE NO.:

JUDGE:

JUDGE ASPEN MAGISTRATE JUDGE DENLOW

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES UNDER THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

JURY DEMAND ENDORSED HEREIN

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

Jurisdiction is founded on 28 U.S.C. §1331 pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection
 Practices Act (FDCPA), 15 U.S.C. §1692. Venue is proper in this district because
 this is the judicial district where all of the events giving rise to the cause of action
 took place.

#### FACTS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

- The Plaintiff is a person who incurred a consumer debt primarily for personal, family or household purposes.
- 3. Defendant is a corporation doing business primarily as a consumer debt collector.
- 4. Defendant is a debt collector as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6).
- 5. The Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).
- 6. The debt in question qualifies as a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5).

- 7. Defendant is either the holder of the debt or was retained by the current holder to collect the debt.
- 8. All of Defendant's actions occurred within one year of the date of this Complaint.
- 9. In or around July 2007, Defendant telephoned Plaintiff at Plaintiff's place of employment.
- 10. During the communication referenced immediately above, Plaintiff informed Defendant that Plaintiff was not permitted to receive personal telephone calls at work.
- 11. Despite this notice, Defendant telephoned Plaintiff at work multiple times in or around July 2007.
- 12. During several of the communications addressed above, Plaintiff reiterated that she could not receive Defendant's calls at work and stated that she could get into trouble with her employer if Defendant continued to call.
- 13. During at least one of these communications, Defendant responded to Plaintiff's notice by yelling that Plaintiff needed to pay her bills and stated that Defendant could call Plaintiff whenever and wherever Defendant wished until the bill was paid.
- 14. During several of these communications, Plaintiff would hang up the telephone after providing the above notice and Defendant would immediately telephone Plaintiff again at the same number.
- 15. On or around July 24, 2007 or July 25, 2007, Defendant telephoned Plaintiff at work and threatened to send garnishment papers to Plaintiff's employer.
- 16. At the time of the above communications, Defendant had not obtained a judgment against Plaintiff.
- 17. In or around July 2007, Plaintiff's employer reprimanded Plaintiff about the frequency of calls from Defendant and as a result, Plaintiff was in constant fear of losing her job.

- 18. On or around July 26, 2007, Plaintiff informed Defendant that Plaintiff was represented by an attorney for bankruptcy and provided the law firm's contact information.
- 19. Despite this notice, Defendant has contacted Plaintiff multiple times in or around July 2007.
- 20. Despite this notice, Defendant has contacted Plaintiff multiple times in or around August 2007.
- 21. Plaintiff is emotionally distraught and has been compelled to hire counsel to prosecute this action.
- 22. Defendant has damaged Plaintiff emotionally and mentally and has caused substantial anxiety and stress.
- 23. Defendant violated the FDCPA.

## **COUNT ONE**

#### **Violation of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act**

- 24. Plaintiff incorporates each of the preceding allegations as if specifically stated herein.
- 25. The Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1692c by calling Plaintiff at work after it was informed that Plaintiff did not want to receive and was not permitted to receive personal phone calls at work.

## **COUNT TWO**

## **Violation of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act**

- 26. Plaintiff incorporates each of the preceding allegations as if specifically stated herein.
- 27. The Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1692c in that it contacted Plaintiff notwithstanding the fact that Plaintiff told Defendant that Plaintiff was represented by an attorney.

## **COUNT THREE**

## **Violation of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act**

- 28. Plaintiff incorporates each of the preceding allegations as if specifically stated herein.
- 29. The Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1692e in that it threatened legal action where such action was not contemplated, and stated for the sole purpose of terrifying the Plaintiff.

## **COUNT FOUR**

#### **Violation of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act**

- 30. Plaintiff incorporates each of the preceding allegations as if specifically stated herein.
- 31. The Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1692e by making misrepresentations during its conversations with Plaintiff.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

32. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 33. Plaintiff prays for the following relief:
  - Judgment against Defendant for actual damages, statutory damages
     pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k and costs, and reasonable attorney's fees
     pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k.
  - b. For such other legal and/or equitable relief as the Court deems appropriate.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

Legal Helpers, P.C.

By: /Timothy J. Sostrin

Timothy J. Sostrin
Bar ID # 6290807
Attorney for Plaintiff
20 W. Kinzie Street, Suite 1300
Chicago, IL 60610

Telephone: 866-339-1156 Email: tjs@legalhelpers.com